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Disclaimer:
The estimates of social and economic impacts contained in this report were produced using Hazus loss estimation methodology software which is based on current scientific and engineering knowledge. There are uncertainties inherent in any loss estimation technique. Therefore, there may be significant differences between the modeled results contained in this report and the actual social and economic losses following a specific earthquake. These results can be improved by using enhanced inventory, geotechnical, and observed ground motion data.
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Hazus is a regional earthquake loss estimation model that was developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the National Institute of Building Sciences. The primary purpose of Hazus is to provide a methodology and software application to develop earthquake losses at a regional scale. These loss estimates would be used primarily by local, state and regional officials to plan and stimulate efforts to reduce risks from earthquakes and to prepare for emergency response and recovery.

The earthquake loss estimates provided in this report was based on a region that includes 12 county(ies) from the following state(s):

## Utah

Note:
Appendix A contains a complete listing of the counties contained in the region.

The geographical size of the region is $27,583.22$ square miles and contains 422 census tracts. There are over 712 thousand households in the region which has a total population of $2,301,462$ people ( 2010 Census Bureau data). The distribution of population by State and County is provided in Appendix B.

There are an estimated 630 thousand buildings in the region with a total building replacement value (excluding contents) of 129,617 (millions of dollars). Approximately $90.00 \%$ of the buildings (and $0.00 \%$ of the building value) are associated with residential housing.

The replacement value of the transportation and utility lifeline systems is estimated to be 27,274 and 3,760 (millions of dollars), respectively.

## Building and Lifeline Inventory

## Building Inventory

Hazus estimates that there are 630 thousand buildings in the region which have an aggregate total replacement value of 129,617 (millions of dollars) . Appendix B provides a general distribution of the building value by State and County.

In terms of building construction types found in the region, wood frame construction makes up 42\% of the building inventory. The remaining percentage is distributed between the other general building types.

## Critical Facility Inventory

Hazus breaks critical facilities into two (2) groups: essential facilities and high potential loss facilities (HPL). Essential facilities include hospitals, medical clinics, schools, fire stations, police stations and emergency operations facilities. High potential loss facilities include dams, levees, military installations, nuclear power plants and hazardous material sites.

For essential facilities, there are 47 hospitals in the region with a total bed capacity of 6,630 beds. There are 953 schools, 208 fire stations, 104 police stations and 22 emergency operation facilities. With respect to high potential loss facilities (HPL), there are 272 dams identified within the region. Of these, 117 of the dams are classified as 'high hazard'. The inventory also includes 636 hazardous material sites, 0 military installations and 0 nuclear power plants.

## Transportation and Utility Lifeline Inventory

Within Hazus, the lifeline inventory is divided between transportation and utility lifeline systems. There are seven (7) transportation systems that include highways, railways, light rail, bus, ports, ferry and airports. There are six (6) utility systems that include potable water, wastewater, natural gas, crude \& refined oil, electric power and communications. The lifeline inventory data are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

The total value of the lifeline inventory is over $31,034.00$ (millions of dollars). This inventory includes over 3,595 kilometers of highways, 1,902 bridges, 93,834 kilometers of pipes.

Table 1: Transportation System Lifeline Inventory

| System | Component | \# Locations/ <br> \# Segments | Replacement value (millions of dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway | Bridges | 1,902 | 2,646.60 |
|  | Segments | 844 | 21,652.00 |
|  | Tunnels | 2 | 1.80 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 24,300.30 |
| Railways | Bridges | 31 | 3.80 |
|  | Facilities | 10 | 26.60 |
|  | Segments | 1,041 | 1,947.30 |
|  | Tunnels | 0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 1,977.70 |
| Light Rail | Bridges | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | Facilities | 24 | 63.90 |
|  | Segments | 24 | 37.20 |
|  | Tunnels | 0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 101.10 |
| Bus | Facilities | 8 | 8.50 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 8.50 |
| Ferry | Facilities | 0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 0.00 |
| Port | Facilities | 0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 0.00 |
| Airport | Facilities | 12 | 127.80 |
|  | Runways | 20 | 759.30 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 887.10 |
| Total |  |  | 27,274.80 |

Table 2: Utility System Lifeline Inventory

| System | Component | \# Locations / Segments | Replacement value (millions of dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Potable Water | Distribution Lines | NA | 1,135.00 |
|  | Facilities | 1 | 32.60 |
|  | Pipelines | 0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 1,167.70 |
| Waste Water | Distribution Lines | NA | 681.00 |
|  | Facilities | 38 | 2,480.20 |
|  | Pipelines | 0 | 0.00 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 3,161.20 |
| Natural Gas | Distribution Lines | NA | 454.00 |
|  | Facilities | 1 | 0.00 |
|  | Pipelines | 372 | 968.90 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 1,422.90 |
| Oil Systems | Facilities | 16 | 0.00 |
|  | Pipelines | 228 | 270.10 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 270.10 |
| Electrical Power | Facilities | 617 | 0.00 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 0.00 |
| Communication | Facilities | 93 | 9.10 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 9.10 |
| $\underbrace{(2)}$ |  | Total | 6,030.90 |

## Earthquake Scenario

Hazus uses the following set of information to define the earthquake parameters used for the earthquake loss estimate provided in this report.

| Scenario Name | SLC Segment M70 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Earthquake | User-defined |
| Fault Name | NA |
| Historical Epicenter ID \# | NA |
| Probabilistic Return Period | NA |
| Longitude of Epicenter | NA |
| Latitude of Epicenter | 7.00 |
| Earthquake Magnitude | NA |
| Depth (Km) | NA |
| Rupture Length (Km) | NA |
| Rupture Orientation (degrees) | NA |

## Building Damage

## Building Damage

Hazus estimates that about 204,060 buildings will be at least moderately damaged. This is over 32.00 \% of the buildings in the region. There are an estimated 56,241 buildings that will be damaged beyond repair. The definition of the 'damage states' is provided in Volume 1: Chapter 5 of the Hazus technical manual. Table 3 below summarizes the expected damage by general occupancy for the buildings in the region. Table 4 below summarizes the expected damage by general building type.

Table 3: Expected Building Damage by Occupancy

|  | None |  | Slight |  | Moderate |  | Extensive |  | Complete |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | (\%) | Count | (\%) | Count | (\%) | Count | (\%) | Count | (\%) |
| Agriculture | 1,526 | 0.47 | 155 | 0.15 | 128 | 0.15 | 60 | 0.10 | 25 | 0.05 |
| Commercial | 22,205 | 6.89 | 6,874 | 6.63 | 6,900 | 7.86 | 5,958 | 9.93 | 3,576 | 6.36 |
| Education | 462 | 0.14 | 93 | 0.09 | 115 | 0.13 | 179 | 0.30 | 139 | 0.25 |
| Government | 672 | 0.21 | 239 | 0.23 | 250 | 0.28 | 161 | 0.27 | 99 | 0.18 |
| Industrial | 6,114 | 1.90 | 1,736 | 1.68 | 1,884 | 2.14 | 1,154 | 1.92 | 617 | 1.10 |
| Other Residential | 51,125 | 15.86 | 14,119 | 13.62 | 10,810 | 12.31 | 6,395 | 10.66 | 4,730 | 8.41 |
| Religion | 946 | 0.29 | 225 | 0.22 | 246 | 0.28 | 143 | 0.24 | 88 | 0.16 |
| Single Family | 239,291 | 74.24 | 80,186 | 77.38 | 67,497 | 76.85 | 45,939 | 76.58 | 46,968 | 83.51 |
| Total | 322,341 |  | 103,627 |  | 87,829 |  | 59,990 |  | 56,242 |  |

Table 4: Expected Building Damage by Building Type (All Design Levels)

|  | None |  | Slight |  | Moderate |  | Extensive |  | Complete |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | (\%) | Count | (\%) | Count | (\%) | Count | (\%) | Count | (\%) |
| Wood | 155,144 | 48.13 | 60299 | 58.19 | 34,630 | 39.43 | 12,858 | 21.43 | 3,520 | 6.26 |
| Steel | 4,720 | 1.46 | 1117 | 1.08 | 1,464 | 1.67 | 982 | 1.64 | 819 | 1.46 |
| Concrete | 3,927 | 1.22 | 1113 | 1.07 | 845 | 0.96 | 499 | 0.83 | 450 | 0.80 |
| Precast | 1,396 | 0.43 | 277 | 0.27 | 391 | 0.45 | 194 | 0.32 | 70 | 0.13 |
| RM | 115,543 | 35.84 | 26092 | 25.18 | 28,035 | 31.92 | 20,495 | 34.16 | 6,466 | 11.50 |
| URM | 31,837 | 9.88 | 12408 | 11.97 | 20,198 | 23.00 | 23,961 | 39.94 | 44,409 | 78.96 |
| MH | 9,775 | 3.03 | 2321 | 2.24 | 2,266 | 2.58 | 1,001 | 1.67 | 508 | 0.90 |
| Total | 322,341 |  | 103,627 |  | 87,829 |  | 59,990 |  | 56,242 |  |

*Note:

| RM | Reinforced Masonry |
| :--- | :--- |
| URM | Unreinforced Masonry |
| MH | Manufactured Housing |

## Essential Facility Damage

Before the earthquake, the region had 6,630 hospital beds available for use. On the day of the earthquake, the model estimates that only 2,625 hospital beds ( $40.00 \%$ ) are available for use by patients already in the hospital and those injured by the earthquake. After one week, $51.00 \%$ of the beds will be back in service. By 30 days, $69.00 \%$ will be operational.

Table 5: Expected Damage to Essential Facilities

| Classification |  | \# Facilities |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | At Least Moderate <br> Damage $>\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | Complete <br> Damage $>50 \%$ | With Functionality <br> $>50 \%$ on day 1 |
|  | 47 | 25 | 4 | 21 |
| Schools | 953 | 259 | 22 | 524 |
| EOCs | 22 | 5 | 0 | 15 |
| PoliceStations | 104 | 8 | 0 | 70 |
| FireStations | 208 | 18 | 0 | 147 |

## Transportation and Utility Lifeline Damage

Table 6 provides damage estimates for the transportation system.

Table 6: Expected Damage to the Transportation Systems

| System | Component | Number of Locations_ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Locations/ Segments | With at Least Mod. Damage | With Complete Damage | With Functionality > 50\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | After Day 1 | After Day 7 |
| Highway | Segments | 844 | 0 | 0 | 844 | 844 |
|  | Bridges | 1,902 | 469 | 140 | 1,438 | 1,556 |
|  | Tunnels | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Railways | Segments | 1,041 | 0 | 0 | 1,041 | 1,041 |
|  | Bridges | 31 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 30 |
|  | Tunnels | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Facilities | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 10 |
| Light Rail | Segments | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
|  | Bridges | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Tunnels | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Facilities | 24 | 23 | 0 | 7 | 15 |
| Bus | Facilities | 8 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 8 |
| Ferry | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Port | Facilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Airport | Facilities | 12 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 12 |
|  | Runways | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 |

Note: Roadway segments, railroad tracks and light rail tracks are assumed to be damaged by ground failure only. If ground failure maps are not provided, damage estimates to these components will not be computed.

Tables 7-9 provide information on the damage to the utility lifeline systems. Table 7 provides damage to the utility system facilities. Table 8 provides estimates on the number of leaks and breaks by the pipelines of the utility systems. For electric power and potable water, Hazus performs a simplified system performance analysis. Table 9 provides a summary of the system performance information.

Table 7 : Expected Utility System Facility Damage

| System | \# of Locations |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total \# | With at Least <br> Moderate Damage | With Complete Damage | with Functionality > 50 \% |  |
|  |  |  |  | After Day 1 | After Day 7 |
| Potable Water | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Waste Water | 38 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 37 |
| Natural Gas | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Oil Systems | 16 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 15 |
| Electrical Power | 617 | 220 | 0 | 370 | 587 |
| Communication | 93 | 20 | 0 | 81 | 93 |

Table 8 : Expected Utility System Pipeline Damage (Site Specific)

| System | Total Pipelines <br> Length (kms) | Number of <br> Leaks | Number of <br> Breaks |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Potable Water | 56,751 | 2067 | 4420 |
| Waste Water | 34,051 | 1038 | 2220 |
| Natural Gas | 2,056 | 38 | 81 |
| Oil | 976 | 22 | 38 |

Table 9: Expected Potable Water and Electric Power System Performance

|  | Total \# of Households | Number of Households without Service |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | At Day 1 | At Day 3 | At Day 7 | At Day 30 | At Day 90 |
| Potable Water | 712,097 | 379,120 | 369,186 | 344,930 | 319,419 | 286,960 |
| Electric Power |  | 381,793 | 229,013 | 109,050 | 30,369 | 713 |

## Induced Earthquake Damage

## Fire Following Earthquake

Fires often occur after an earthquake. Because of the number of fires and the lack of water to fight the fires, they can often burn out of control. Hazus uses a Monte Carlo simulation model to estimate the number of ignitions and the amount of burnt area. For this scenario, the model estimates that there will be 11 ignitions that will burn about $0.04 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mi} 0.00 \%$ of the region's total area.) The model also estimates that the fires will displace about 417 people and burn about 17 (millions of dollars) of building value.

## Debris Generation

Hazus estimates the amount of debris that will be generated by the earthquake. The model breaks the debris into two general categories: a) Brick/Wood and b) Reinforced Concrete/Steel. This distinction is made because of the different types of material handling equipment required to handle the debris.

The model estimates that a total of 20.76 million tons of debris will be generated. Of the total amount, Brick/Wood comprises $40.00 \%$ of the total, with the remainder being Reinforced Concrete/Steel. If the debris tonnage is converted to an estimated number of truckloads, it will require 830,520 truckloads (@25 tons/truck) to remove the debris generated by the earthquake.

## Social Impact

## Shelter Requirement

Hazus estimates the number of households that are expected to be displaced from their homes due to the earthquake and the number of displaced people that will require accommodations in temporary public shelters. The model estimates 86,330 households to be displaced due to the earthquake. Of these, 53,861 people (out of a total population of $2,301,462$ ) will seek temporary shelter in public shelters.

## Casualties

Hazus estimates the number of people that will be injured and killed by the earthquake. The casualties are broken down into four (4) severity levels that describe the extent of the injuries. The levels are described as follows;

Severity Level 1: Injuries will require medical attention but hospitalization is not needed.

- Severity Level 2: Injuries will require hospitalization but are not considered life-threatening
- Severity Level 3: Injuries will require hospitalization and can become life threatening if not promptly treated.
- Severity Level 4: Victims are killed by the earthquake.

The casualty estimates are provided for three (3) times of day: 2:00 AM, 2:00 PM and 5:00 PM. These times represent the periods of the day that different sectors of the community are at their peak occupancy loads. The 2:00 AM estimate considers that the residential occupancy load is maximum, the 2:00 PM estimate considers that the educational, commercial and industrial sector loads are maximum and 5:00 PM represents peak commute time.

Table 10 provides a summary of the casualties estimated for this earthquake

Table 10: Casualty Estimates

|  |  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 AM | Commercial | 174 | 52 | 9 | 17 |
| 2 PM | Commuting | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Educational | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Hotels | 98 | 28 | 4 | 9 |
|  | Industrial | 120 | 33 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Other-Residential | 4,662 | 1,354 | 210 | 413 |
|  | Single Family | 22,469 | 6,652 | 1,041 | 2,053 |
|  | Total | 27,524 | 8,122 | 1,272 | 2,502 |
|  | Commercial | 9,675 | 2,914 | 476 | 936 |
| 5 PM | Commuting | 13 | 21 | 30 | 6 |
|  | Educational | 2,508 | 744 | 124 | 241 |
|  | Hotels | 19 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Industrial | 887 | 245 | 36 | 70 |
|  | Other-Residential | 755 | 221 | 35 | 66 |
|  | Single Family | 3,702 | 1,118 | 180 | 340 |
|  | Total | 17,558 | 5,269 | 883 | 1,662 |
|  | Commercial | 6,908 | 2,077 | 341 | 662 |
|  | Commuting | 554 | 815 | 1,283 | 253 |
|  | Educational | 648 | 200 | 35 | 69 |
|  | Hotels | 29 | 8 | 1 | 3 |
|  | Industrial | 554 | 153 | 23 | 44 |
|  | Other-Residential | 1,864 | 553 | 89 | 167 |
|  | Single Family | 9,054 | 2,749 | 445 | 839 |
|  | Total | 19,612 | 6,556 | 2,217 | 2,037 |

The total economic loss estimated for the earthquake is $33,271.07$ (millions of dollars), which includes building and lifeline related losses based on the region's available inventory. The following three sections provide more detailed information about these losses.

## Building-Related Losses

The building losses are broken into two categories: direct building losses and business interruption losses. The direct building losses are the estimated costs to repair or replace the damage caused to the building and its contents. The business interruption losses are the losses associated with inability to operate a business because of the damage sustained during the earthquake. Business interruption losses also include the temporary living expenses for those people displaced from their homes because of the earthquake.

The total building-related losses were $32,050.78$ (millions of dollars); $22 \%$ of the estimated losses were related to the business interruption of the region. By far, the largest loss was sustained by the residential occupancies which made up over $53 \%$ of the total loss. Table 11 below provides a summary of the losses associated with the building damage.

Table 11: Building-Related Economic Loss Estimates
(Millions of dollars)

| Category | Area | Single <br> Family | Other <br> Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wage | 0.00 | 304.44 | 783.04 | 25.14 | 112.27 | 1,224.88 |
|  | Capital-Related | 0.00 | 129.61 | 696.54 | 15.30 | 39.76 | 881.21 |
|  | Rental | 464.05 | 484.87 | 636.34 | 14.90 | 61.48 | 1,661.64 |
|  | Relocation | 1,590.95 | 218.63 | 1,002.88 | 60.72 | 514.33 | 3,387.51 |
|  | Subtotal | 2,055.00 | 1,137.56 | 3,118.79 | 116.06 | 727.83 | 7,155.24 |
| Capital Stock Losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Structural | 2,227.18 | 387.31 | 1,512.02 | 144.47 | 228.23 | 4,499.21 |
|  | Non_Structural | 6,816.43 | 2,061.48 | 4,321.10 | 581.62 | 997.89 | 14,778.52 |
|  | Content | 1,749.73 | 479.07 | 2,358.42 | 432.45 | 418.02 | 5,437.70 |
|  | Inventory | 0.00 | 0.00 | 103.58 | 75.95 | 0.58 | 180.11 |
|  | Subtotal | 10,793.35 | 2,927.86 | 8,295.13 | 1,234.49 | 1,644.72 | 24,895.54 |
|  | Total | 12,848.35 | 4,065.42 | 11,413.92 | 1,350.55 | 2,372.55 | 32,050.78 |

## Transportation and Utility Lifeline Losses

For the transportation and utility lifeline systems, Hazus computes the direct repair cost for each component only. There are no losses computed by Hazus for business interruption due to lifeline outages. Tables 12 \& 13 provide a detailed breakdown in the expected lifeline losses.

Hazus estimates the long-term economic impacts to the region for 15 years after the earthquake. The model quantifies this information in terms of income and employment changes within the region. Table 14 presents the results of the region for the given earthquake

Table 12: Transportation System Economic Losses
(Millions of dollars)

| System | Component | Inventory Value | Economic Loss | Loss Ratio (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway | Segments | 21,652.01 | \$397.83 | 1.84 |
|  | Bridges | 2,646.56 | \$457.00 | 17.27 |
|  | Tunnels | 1.76 | \$0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Subtotal | 24300.30 | 854.80 |  |
| Railways | Segments | 1,947.31 | \$14.46 | 0.74 |
|  | Bridges | 3.79 | \$0.33 | 8.71 |
|  | Tunnels | 0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Facilities | 26.63 | \$9.21 | 34.58 |
|  | Subtotal | 1977.70 | 24.00 |  |
| Light Rail | Segments | 37.15 | \$2.71 | 7.28 |
|  | Bridges | 0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Tunnels | 0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Facilities | 63.91 | \$36.72 | 57.46 |
|  | Subtotal | 101.10 | 39.40 |  |
| Bus | Facilities | 8.55 | \$1.51 | 17.72 |
|  | Subtotal | 8.50 | 1.50 |  |
| Ferry | Facilities | 0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Subtotal | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Port | Facilities | 0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Subtotal | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Airport | Facilities | 127.81 | \$18.01 | 14.09 |
|  | Runways | 759.28 | \$13.43 | 1.77 |
|  | Subtotal | 887.10 | 31.40 |  |
|  | Total | 27274.80 | 951.20 |  |

Table 13: Utility System Economic Losses
(Millions of dollars)

| System | Component | Inventory Value | Economic Loss | Loss Ratio (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Potable Water | Pipelines | 0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Facilities | 32.60 | \$0.06 | 0.18 |
|  | Distribution Lines | 1,135.00 | \$48.34 | 4.26 |
|  | Subtotal | 1,167.66 | \$48.40 |  |
| Waste Water | Pipelines | 0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Facilities | 2,480.20 | \$186.02 | 7.50 |
|  | Distribution Lines | 681.00 | \$24.28 | 3.57 |
|  | Subtotal | 3,161.20 | \$210.30 |  |
| Natural Gas | Pipelines | 968.90 | \$0.88 | 0.09 |
|  | Facilities | 0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Distribution Lines | 454.00 | \$8.32 | 1.83 |
|  | Subtotal | 1,422.88 | \$9.20 |  |
| Oil Systems | Pipelines | 270.10 | \$0.22 | 0.08 |
|  | Facilities | 0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Subtotal | 270.07 | \$0.22 |  |
| Electrical Power | Facilities | 0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Subtotal | 0.00 | \$0.00 |  |
| Communication | Facilities | 9.10 | \$0.97 | 10.59 |
|  | Subtotal | 9.11 | \$0.97 |  |
|  | Total | 6,030.92 | \$269.08 |  |

Table 14. Indirect Economic Impact with outside aid
(Employment as \# of people and Income in millions of \$)

|  | LOSS | Total | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Year |  |  |  |
|  | Employment Impact | 477,685 | 64.35 |
|  | Income Impact | 962 | 3.54 |
| Second Year |  |  |  |
|  | Employment Impact | 210,187 | 28.32 |
|  | Income Impact | 51 | 0.19 |
| Third Year |  |  |  |
|  | Employment Impact | 5,123 | 0.69 |
|  | Income Impact | (686) | -2.52 |
| Fourth Year |  |  |  |
|  | Employment Impact | 290 | 0.04 |
|  | Income Impact | (894) | -3.29 |
| Fifth Year |  |  |  |
|  | Employment Impact | 14 | 0.00 |
|  | Income Impact | (906) | -3.33 |
| Years 6 to 15 |  |  |  |
|  | Employment Impact | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | Income Impact | (907) | -3.33 |

## Appendix A: County Listing for the Region

Box Elder,UT
Cache,UT

Davis,UT
Juab,UT

Morgan,UT
Rich,UT
Salt Lake,UT

Summit,UT
Tooele,UT

Utah,UT
Wasatch,UT
Weber,UT

## Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data

| State | County Name | Population | Building Value (millions of dollars) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Residential | Non-Residential | Total |
| Utah |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Box Elder | 47,897 | 1,653 | 889 | 2,543 |
|  | Cache | 109,347 | 3,377 | 2,408 | 5,785 |
|  | Davis | 286,502 | 10,226 | 4,513 | 14,739 |
|  | Juab | 9,563 | 288 | 268 | 557 |
|  | Morgan | 8,381 | 304 | 146 | 451 |
|  | Rich | 2,067 | 248 | 41 | 290 |
|  | Salt Lake | 1,000,299 | 35,742 | 28,197 | 63,940 |
|  | Summit | 35,644 | 2,596 | 721 | 3,317 |
|  | Tooele | 54,473 | 1,780 | 698 | 2,478 |
|  | Utah | 504,990 | 13,046 | 8,765 | 21,812 |
|  | Wasatch | 20,318 | 853 | 412 | 1,265 |
|  | Weber | 221,981 | 8,458 | 3,976 | 12,435 |
| Total State |  | 2,301,462 | 78,571 | 51,034 | 129,612 |
| Total Region |  | 2,301,462 | 78,571 | 51,034 | 129,612 |

## Direct Building Economic Loss Earthquake Scenario: Salt Lake City Segment, UT


M 7.0 Salt
Lake City Segment

| PGA (\%g's) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| < 0.02 | 0.34-0.65 |
| 0.02-0.04 | 0.65-1.05 |
| 0.04-0.09 | 1 Dot = |
| 0.09-0.18 | \$10,000,000 |
| 0.18-0.34 | [. Fault Source |

Total Direct Economic Loss: \$32.1B
Cost Cost Non- Total Loss Structural Structural (Including

| County | Damage | Damage | Contents) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 80,299$ | $\$ 290,752$ | $\$ 040,875$ |


| Utah | $\$ 80,299$ | $\$ 290,752$ | $\$ 648,875$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| W asatch | $\$ 93$ | $\$ 1,573$ | $\$ 3,073$ |
| Box Elder | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 72$ | $\$ 132$ |


| Tooele | $\$ 1,895$ | $\$ 8,356$ | $\$ 17,217$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Salt Lake \$4,121,057 \$13,480,283 \$29,277,229

| Weber | $\$ 30,863$ | $\$ 83,179$ | $\$ 213,836$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Summit | $\$ 446$ | $\$ 4,846$ | $\$ 8,763$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Morgan | $\$ 41$ | $\$ 314$ | $\$ 594$ |


| Morgan | $\$ 41$ | $\$ 314$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Davis | $\$ 264,508$ | $\$ 909,139$ | $\$ 1,881,045$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Total $\quad \$ 4,499,212$ \$14,778,514 \$32,050,764

All values are thousands of dollars


## Estimated Displaced Households \＆Short Term Public Shelter Needs－ Earthquake Scenario：Great Salt Lake Segment，UT



M 7.0
Salt Lake City Segment

|  | Total \＃ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Public Shelter <br> Needs <br> （Individuals） | 53,862 |
| Displaced <br> Households | 86,330 |

－ 1 Dot＝ 1 Public Shelter Need（Individuals）＊
－ 1 Dot＝ 1 Displaced
Household＊
＊delineated by census tract

ロロェコロコ Great Salt Lake Fault
$\square$ Counties
Interstate
Major Roads
City Boundaries


1－23－2012 FEMA Region 8 Mitigation


## Distribution of Elderly, Impaired Hospitals (Day 1), \& Hospital Bed Availability - Earthquake Scenario: Salt Lake City Segment, UT



## M 7.0 Salt Lake City Segment

Highway Damage Damage is expressd as the probability that a given bridge or highway segmen
will realize at least moderate damage. Major Roadway Highway Segment Bridge Impact Impact

- Low
- Moderate
- Low
-_Moderate
- High $\qquad$
Impaired Hospitals (Day 1)
Damage is expressed as the probability that a given hospital will be at least extensively damaged.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1 Dot = } 30 \\ & \text { People over } 65 \end{aligned}$ |  | Nursing Home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Total \# of Hospital Beds | Day 1 Beds Available | Injuries Requiring Hospital Treatment |
| Box Elder | 72 | 72 | 0 |
| Cache | 233 | 233 | 0 |
| Davis | 632 | 260 | 158 |
| Juab | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| Salt Lake | 3929 | 498 | 4,598 |
| Tootle | 43 | 31 | 0 |
| Utah | 1094 | 754 | 26 |
| Wasatch | 20 | 20 | 0 |
| Summit | 26 | 26 | 0 |
| Weber | 556 | 350 | 0 |
| Total | 6630 | 2269 | 4782 |
|  |  |  |  |


| O) 2 | (I) | WY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | リ1 | C) |

## Electrical，Natural Gas，and Oil Facility Damage－ Earthquake Scenario：Salt Lake City Segment，UT



## M 7．0 Salt Lake City Segment

Utility Damage （at least moderate）
Damage is expressed as the percentage chance that a given Utility System will realize at least moderate damage．

| PGA（\％g＇s） | Counties |
| :---: | :---: |
| ＜ 0.02 | चロコロ Fault Source |
| 0．02－0．04 | Interstate |
| 0．04－0．09 | Oil Facility |
| 0．09－0．18 | （17）Low |
| 0．18－0．34 |  |
| 0．34－0．65 | Moderate |
| 0．65－1．05 | High |
| Electric Power Facility | Natural Gas Facility |
| Q Low | Low |
| Q Moderate | （圖）Moderate |
| Q High | High |



Estimated Building Inspection Needs－ Earthquake Scenario：Salt Lake City Segment，UT


## M 7．0 Salt Lake City Segment

|  | Estimated \＃ <br> of Structures | Estimated \＃of <br> Inspectors <br> Needed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red <br> （Complete） | 56,242 | 375 |
| Yellow <br> （Extensive） | 59,990 | 800 |
| Light Green <br> （Slight／ <br> Moderate） | 191,456 | 1,276 |
| Total |  | 307,688 |
| Estimated number of inspectors needed |  |  |

＊Estimated number of inspectors needed
to complete inspections in 30 days．
－Red Tag
（Complete Damage）
－Yellow Tag （Extensive Damage）
－Green Tag
（Slight／Moderate Damage）
＊1 Dot $=100$（by census tract）
ᄃロடロロ Fault Source
工 Interstate


## Estimated Concrete, Steel Debris and Highway Damage Earthquake Scenario: Salt Lake City Segment, UT



## M 7.0 Salt

 Lake City Segment- 1 Dot $=10$ thousand tons of Concrete and Steel Debris (by census tract)
Highway Damage
Damage is expressd as the probability
that a given bridge or highway segment will realize at least moderate damage.

Highway Segment Impact

| County | Brick and Wood (tons) | Concrete and Steel (tons) | Estimated Truck Loads* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Davis | 439,000 | 642,000 | 43,240 |
| Salt Lake | 7,688,000 | 11,570,000 | 770,320 |
| Summit | 1,000 | 1,000 | 80 |
| Tooele | 4,000 | 2,000 | 240 |
| Utah | 127,000 | 154,000 | 11,240 |
| Weber | 98,000 | 37,000 | 5,400 |
| Total | 8,357,000 | 12,406,000 | 830,520 |
| * Truck loads estimated at 25 tons per truck |  |  |  |
| 1/23/2012 FEMA Region 8 Mitigation |  |  |  |



## Demographic Distribution and Highway Functionality Earthquake Scenario: Salt Lake City Segment, UT



## M 7.0 Salt Lake City Segment

Highway Damage
Damage is expressd as the probability will realize at least moderate damage.

Major Roadway Highway Segment
Bridge Impact Impact

- Low
- Moderate
- Low
- Moderate
- High
_ High


## Demographics

1 Dot $=500$ People (by census tract)

- English Speaking
- Potentially Non-English Speaking

Impaired Hospitals (Day 1)

Damage is expressed as the probability that a given hospital will be at least

H Low Moderate H High


## Estimated Highway Infrastructure Damage Earthquake Scenario: Salt Lake City Segment, UT



## M 7.0 Salt Lake City Segment

Highway Damage
Damage is expressd as the probability that a given bridge or
highway segment will realize at least moderate damage.

Major Roadway Highway Segment
Bridge Impact

- Low
- Moderate
- High

| County | Total \# of <br> Bridges | \# of Bridges <br> Needing <br> Priority <br> Inspection | \# of Bridge <br> Engineers <br> Needed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salt Lake | 608 | 420 | 56 |
| Juab | 80 | 0 | 0 |
| Weber | 141 | 0 | 0 |
| Tooele | 54 | 0 | 0 |
| Cache | 62 | 0 | 0 |
| Rich | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| Morgan | 80 | 0 | 0 |
| Summit | 156 | 0 | 0 |
| Wasatch | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Box Elder | 230 | 0 | 0 |
| Utah | 314 | 75 | 10 |
| Davis | 130 | 36 | 5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 9 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ |

For Priority Inspections only, assuming that 2 engineers can inspect 5 bridges a day for 3 days
םコロ== Fault Source ——M Major Roads


## Impaired Hospitals (Day 1), Hospital Bed Availability, \& Highway Functionality - Earthquake Scenario: Salt Lake City Segment, UT


M 7.0 Salt
Lake City Segment

## Highway Damage

Damage is expressd as the probability that a given bridge or highway segment
will realize at least moderate damage.
Major Roadway Highway Segment

Bridge Impact

- Low
- Moderate
- High Impact
- Low
- Moderate
__ Migh
Impaired Hospitals (Day 1)

| Damage is expressed as <br> the probability that a given <br> hospital will be at least <br> extensively damaged. | $\square$ Low |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Moderate |
| High |  | extensively damaged. $\dagger$ High


| County | Total\# of | Day 1 Beds | Injuries Requiring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | |  | Hospital Beds | Available | Hospital Treatment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |


| Box Elder | 72 | 72 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cache | 233 | 233 | 0 |
| Davis | 632 | 260 | 158 |
| Juab | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| Salt Lake | 3929 | 498 | 4,598 |
| Tootle | 43 | 31 | 0 |
| Utah | 1094 | 754 | 26 |
| Wasatch | 20 | 20 | 0 |
| Summit | 26 | 26 | 0 |
| Weber | 556 | 350 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 6 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 8 2}$ |

1/23/2012 FEMA \& URS Corporation


## Water Line, Sewage Treatment Facility Distribution and Liquefaction Susceptibility - Earthquake Scenario: Salt Lake City Segment, UT



## M 7.0 Salt Lake City Segment

Liquefaction Susceptibility



Life Threatening Injuries Assessment -
Earthquake Scenario: Salt Lake City Segment, UT

M 7.0 Salt
Lake City Segment

Impaired Hospitals (Day 1)

| Damage is expressed as <br> the probability that a given <br> hospital will be at least <br> extensively damaged. | How |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hoderate |  |
|  | High |

extensively damaged. $\boldsymbol{H}^{-1}$ High

- 1 Dot $=1$ Life Threatening Injury (Severtiy Level 3* ${ }^{\text {- 2pm }}$

| Structure Type | Red (Complete) | Collapse Rates <br> for Complete <br> Damage | Total Collapse |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wood | 3,520 | $3 \%$ | 106 |
| Steel | 819 | $6 \%$ | 49 |
| Concrete | 450 | $10 \%$ | 45 |
| Precast | 70 | $13 \%$ | 9 |
| Reinforced <br> Masony | 6,466 | $10 \%$ | 647 |
| Unroinforced <br> Masonry | 44,409 | $15 \%$ | 6,661 |
| Manufactured | 508 | $3 \%$ | 15 |
| Housing | 56,242 |  | 7,532 |
| Total |  |  |  |

*Severity Level 3 is defined as injuries that require hospitalization
and can become life threatening
if not promptly treated.


## Correctional and Daycare Facilities, Impaired Hospitals (Day 1), and Highway Functionality - Earthquake Scenario: Salt Lake City Segment, UT



## M 7.0 Salt Lake City Segment

Highway Damage
Damage is expressd as the probability that a given bridge or highway segment will realize at least moderate damage.
Major Roadway Highway Segment
Bridge Impact
Impact

- Low
- Low
- Moderate
- Moderate
- High
— High
Impaired Hospitals (Day 1)

> Damage is expressed as the probability that a given hospital will be at least extensively damaged.

H Low
[H] Moderate H High

立 Correctional Facilities

- Daycare Facilities



## Oil and Natural Gas Pipeline Probability of Damage Salt Lake City Segment, UT



## M 7.0 Salt Lake City Segment

PGA (\%g's)

| $<0.02$ | 0.18-0.34 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.02-0.04 | 0.34-0.65 |
| 0.04-0.09 | 0.65-1.05 |
| 0.09-0.18 |  |
| Counties | Interstate |
| Fault Source |  |

Pipeline Damage
Damage is expressed as the probability that a given pipeline segment will be damaged

## Oil Pipeline

Damage Probability
를 Low Moderate $=$ High
Natural Gas Pipeline
Damage Probability
$\square$ Low $\quad$ Moderate $\quad$ High


FEMA Region VIII Mitigation Damage probabilities based on Hazus modeling for Utah 2012 ShakeOut


## Day 1: Estimated Impassable AreasEarthquake Scenario: Salt Lake City Segment, UT



## M 7.0 Salt Lake City Segment

## Highway Damage

Damage is expressd as the probability that a given bridge or highway segment will realize at least moderate damage.
Major Roadway Highway Segment

Bridge Impact

- Low Impact
- Moderate
$\qquad$
- High - Moderate

Impassable Areas Based Potential Ground Deformation
Local Variation is Very Likely, Impassable Areas may Include Significant Liquefaction Debris and Flooding
HighModerate $\qquad$ Low

| Response <br> Area | Potential Debris on Major Roadways (Tons) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1,504,930 |
| 2 | 572,819 |
| 3 | 791,815 |
| 4 | 52,027 |
| 5 | 155,918 |
| 6 | 54,241 |
| Total | 3,131,750 |
|  | Response Divisions |

 Loss estimation based on Hazus modeling For Utah 2012 ShakeOut

| OR | II) |  | WY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NV | $\square$ | リ1 | (C) |

## Impaired Hospitals (Day 1), Potential Damage and Bed Availability <br> ~ Earthquake Scenario: Salt Lake City Segment, UT



| M 7.0 Salt |
| :---: |
| Lake City Segment |

Impaired Hospitals (Day 1)

Damage is expressed as he probability that a give hospital will be at least
extensively damaged.

Response Area Divisions

| Response Division | Total \# of Hospital Beds | \# of Surge Capacity Beds | Day 1 Beds <br> Available | Injuries requiring hospital treatment @ 2pm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 2244 | 1143 | $13 \quad 12$ | 1273 |
| 1 | 139 | 169 | $0 \quad 11$ | 175 |
| 9 | 931 | 482 | $456 \quad 43$ | 435 |
| 4 3 | 329 | 213 | 27 37 | 37 |
| 518 | 198 | 128 | 38 | 387 |
| 68 | 88 | 106 | 45 | 45 |
| County | Total \# of Hospital Beds | Day 1 <br> Beds <br> Available | \# of Surge Capacity Beds | Injuries Requiring Hospital Treatment @ 2pm |
| BoxElder | 72 | 72 | 254 | 0 |
| Cache | 233 | 233 | 175 | 0 |
| Davis | 632 | 260 | 378 | 158 |
| Juab | 25 | 25 | na | 0 |
| Salt Lake | 3929 | 498 | 2241 | 4,598 |
| Tootle | 43 | 31 | 22 | 0 |
| Utah | 1094 | 754 | 643 | 26 |
| Wasatch | 20 | 20 | 24 | 0 |
| Summit | 26 | 26 | 41 | 0 |
| Weber | 556 | 350 | 460 | 0 |
| Total | 6630 | 2269 | 4238 | 4782 |

FEMA Region VIII Mitigation GIS Loss estimation based on Hazus modeling For Utah 2012 ShakeOut


## Data Layer/

Map Description(s):
Provide general aerial extent of the area with points of interest such as major With points of interest such as major
highways, roads, streets, rivers, bodies
of water and city boundaries highligted of water and city boundaries highlighted.
Due to the aerial extent of the map not all Due to te aerial extent of the map not all
points of interest listed in tegend will be visible at scale.
pol
por

Map Legend:

- Staging Areas
$\square$
Response Divisions

Major Highway
Major Route

Street

County Boundary
River / Stream

- City / Town

City Boundary

Bodies of Water

Railroads

Data Sources:
Streets, Major rilighways, Interstates, Imager
and Roads
rovided by Detorme ase Maps and Roads Provided by DeLorme Base Maps
and Rivers Provided by DeLorme Base Maps. and Rivers Provided by DeLorme Base Maps.
Staging Areas Response Divisions Provided b
The State of Utah.

